

E. Howard Hunt, Richard Helms, CIA perjury before Senate Watergate committee 6/12/92

At the time of the Watergate scandal I was asked separately by two German publishers to write a book, one after the other, an one after the other they decided not to get interested, all in a ~~xxx~~ short period of time. But I continued and in the end evolved a badly-written ms I've not read. However, I did develop information never used by others, including Carl Bernstein and Bob Woodward. I'd know Carl when he was a boy because we had known his parents before World War II. In the course of this work I developed a source that should have been obvious in all investigations and wasn't approached by any, a source I must still protect. It was one of the means by which, as I think I said in the statement intended for Congressional committees to which Jim Mesar was to give it (and I've heard nothing about this from him to date), I learned that Hunt worked at the Mullen agency while he was CIA. Helms testified to the Watergate committee that he got Hunt a job with Mullen after Hunt retired. That was perjury. It now, by accident, turns out that through no effort by it the Watergate committee knew that Helms perjured himself.

One of the records referred to other agencies by the FBI that I should have gotten in one of my lawsuits and didn't is a memo from the Houston FBI to headquarters and to Dallas of 4/8/77. It was intended to relate to investigation after George DeM^{ch}renschildt killed himself, as the first page says. There is no redaction on the record, no claim to national security or any other to withhold. But the FBI referred it to the CIA 15 years ago. The CIA cleared it for disclosure 9/23/88 following which the FBI did 1/26/89 and then did not disclose ^{unfiled 5/11/92} to me, I think the explanation is in the final paragraph.

The woman who had operated as a public stenographer in Washington in Washington a short walk from Hunt's Mullen office told the FBI that in 1960 she had typed the budget for the Bay of Pigs for Hunt "to accompany a report also typed up for Hunt, then employed by the CIA and working under the cover of the Mullen company..." The FBI says that she gave this information to Sam Dash, identified as "an investigator during the Watergate investigation." He in fact was that committee's chief counsel, and it did not use it.

Any real Watergate investigation would have developed the proof I did that while he was still with CIA and under the Mullen cover he was also involved in the campaign to get Justice Douglas impeached, through his Mullenⁿ associate, Douglas Gaddy, who it happens was also the first lawyer for the five caught in the Watergate breakings. It would also have learned, as I did, that there is a possible CIA/Mullen link to the laundering of the CREEP money that figured in the investigation in Mexico City. And that still another of Hunt associates disappeared from Washington at the time of the breakin and was involved with Mullen and its Mexico City operation, never mentioned in the hearings. (I was led to this confidential source by what was public knowledge ^{HW 6/12/92} about Hunt. I gave the information I developed but not the source to Woodward and Bernstein. Bennett of Mullen was a Woodward source and the Post protected Bennett in return so they did not use what I gave them. In fact, neither the Post nor the committee used the fact that when Hunt was on the lam he remained in contact with Bennett. Whether or not with the intent both the Post and the committee covered up the CIA's direct Watergate involvement that may not have been limited to Hunt and I think was not.